Results -2 -1-t C 1 ... 1 ..

TABLE 28. Comparison of herbaceous and woody plants in upland H. helix weeded (treated) and unweeded (control) 1 × 1 m plots by paired

Experiments	m ² plot replications	Standard deviation 1971	Standard deviation 1972	Mean no./m² 1971	Mean no./m² 1972	Corrected mean 1971	Corrected mean 1972	Significance
Herbs controls	10	25.0	47.5	11.8	24.2	4.1	10.1	modified $t_{9 \text{ df}} = 8.306$; significant beyond 0.001

0.4

0.5

0.1

0.0

0.3

0.3

3.3

5.9

_

0.4

1.1

2.9

4.6

0.3

0.3

0.1

0.0

0.2

0.2

 $t_{9 \text{ df}} = 2.244$; significant at 0.1

modified $t_{9 \text{ df}} = 6.501$;

modified $t_{9 \text{ df}} = 1.700$;

not significant at 0.1

 $t_{9 \text{ df}} = 1.843$;

significant at 0.1

significant beyond 0.001

2.3

5.3

0.3

0.8

2.0

4.1

	replications	deviation 1971	deviation 1972	no./m² 1971	no./m² 1972	mean 1971	mean 1972	
Herbs								modified to at =
controls	10	25.0	47.5	11.8	24.2	4.1	10.1	significant bey
treated	10	21.5	57.1	8.5	101.8	2.9	93.4	

4.3

3.3

1.0

1.8

3.6

3.0

plot design experiments on square root ($\sqrt{x+0.5}$) transformed data (no./m²)

1.0

1.3

0.3

0.0

0.9

0.7

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

Woody

controls

treated

Herbs vs.

controls

Other woody controls

treated

treated

woody

Trees